


**Association for Counselor Education and Supervision  
Proposal Review Rubric 2023**

Please use this rubric to inform your proposal submission for the ACES 2023 Conference. All conference proposals will undergo a concealed peer review process by at least two reviewers using the rubric provided. 100 points are available.


<b>Area Evaluated</b>	<b>Very Strong</b>	<b>Strong</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Weak</b>	<b>Very Weak</b>	<b>Unable to Evaluate Because Information is not Sufficient or Provided</b>
<b>Program Description</b>						
The learning objectives are clear and measurable.	10	7	5	3	1	0
The rationale for the presentation is strong and persuasive.	10	7	5	3	1	0
The methods to achieve learning objectives are well-defined.	10	7	5	3	1	0
There is a clear research/evidence base for their proposed presentation; if no evidence base is available, rationale is appropriate.	10	7	5	3	1	0
The proposal topic is well-thought out and applicable, and the presentation methods make contextual sense.	10	7	5	3	1	0
There is clear, integrated attention to Multicultural and Social Justice Counseling Competencies; if no attention, rationale is appropriate.	10	7	5	3	1	0



Questions about the Proposal Overall						
The program summary for publication in the program guide is clear represents the proposal accurately.	10	7	5	3	1	0
The proposal is aimed to meet the needs of counselor educators and/or supervisors and is not aimed towards counselors in a general sense (e.g., counseling techniques).	10	7	5	3	1	0
The expertise of the presenter(s) makes them competent to deliver the proposed presentation.	10	7	5	3	1	0
Overall, the program proposal is written in a clear, professional style.	10	7	5	3	1	0
<b>Points Available</b>	<b>100</b>					

#### Required Criteria for Proposal Review

<i>The proposal is prepared for blind review and does not reveal any presenter(s) names, affiliations, institutions, or organizations.</i>	Select "Yes" or "No." If "NO" is selected, the presentation will be disqualified.
<i>The proposal adheres to the maximum number of presenters allowed. (Roundtables = 2; Panels = 3 or more)</i>	
<i>The first presenter is an active Member of ACES through Feb. 1, 2023.</i>	



<i>All presenters appear on no more than two submissions.</i>	
---	--

### **Additional Questions for Reviewers not Impacting Score**

Does the proposal fit the given category in which it was submitted (i.e., education session, roundtable, poster, or panel discussion)?

Yes / No

If you answered, “No,” do you recommend this proposal for a different format?

Yes / No

If you answered, “Yes,” please select a different category

Education Session / Roundtable / Poster / Panel Discussion

Does the proposal fit the core, NBCC, and cross-listed categories in which it was submitted? (If no, please comment in the box below)

Yes / No

If this proposal is selected yet does not rank high enough for the slots available for the chosen proposal format, please rank alternative presentation formats.

Education Session / Roundtable / Poster / Panel Discussion / Not recommended for another format

**Additional Reviewer Comments:**