

## Call for Proposals Rubric

<b>Classification</b>	<b>5-Point Rating</b>	<b>4-Point Rating</b>	<b>3-Point Rating</b>
<b>Innovation and Applicability</b>	The session content is cutting-edge, innovative, or discusses challenges in the field in a new way. Session content advances urban park practitioners' ability to perform their duties.	The session content may have been covered previously, but the delivery, approach, or perspectives offered may be new and relevant to urban park practitioners' work now.	The session content is outdated and/or not presented in a way that is relevant to the work of urban park practitioners.
<b>General Session Quality</b>	The session was organized in a logical flow of ideas, aligns with its proposed learning objectives, and presented in a compelling way.	The session had minor problems with its flow of ideas and learning objectives, and was presented in an interesting way.	The session included ideas that were not logically connected, did not align with the proposed learning objectives, and which were presented in an uninteresting way.
<b>Speaker Qualification</b>	The speaker is well-qualified to deliver the session. The speaker brings a diverse perspective via an underrepresented ethnic/racial group, ability group, age group, non-parks sector, or other unique group.	The speaker appears qualified to deliver the session, but may not bring a diverse perspective via an underrepresented ethnic/racial group, ability group, age group, non-parks sector, or other unique group.	The speaker is does not appear qualified to deliver the session, and does not bring a diverse perspective via an underrepresented ethnic/racial group, ability group, age group, non-parks sector, or other unique group.
<b>Relevancy to Parks Audience</b>	This topic would be of great interest to members and would highly motivate them to attend.	This topic would be of moderate interest to members and would highly motivate some members to attend.	This topic would be of limited interest to members and would highly motivate few members to attend.

### Additional Questions

<b>Classification</b>	<b>5-Point Rating</b>	<b>4-Point Rating</b>	<b>3-Point Rating</b>	<b>2-Point Rating</b>
<b>Alignment with the Session Format</b>	The proposed session content aligns with the chosen session structure.	The proposed session content somewhat aligns with the chosen session structure and can be better aligned with some adjustments.	The proposed session content does not align with the chosen session structure, but can the content can better fit with a different available session structure.	The proposed session content does not align with the chosen session structure, nor does it align with any other available session structure.
<b>Alignment with the Conference Track</b>	This session aligns well with the proposed conference track.	This session may somewhat align with the proposed conference track, but can be better aligned with some adjustments.	The session content does not align with the proposed conference track, but can better align with a different conference track.	This session is not clearly or closely related to the proposed conference track.